

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/806,565	CORRAL, FELIPE H.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	John Bastianelli	3751	

All Participants:

 (1) John Bastianelli.

 (2) Richard S. Erbe.
Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 June 2005
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-17

Prior art documents discussed:

US 6,837,481 B1

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner phoned the applicant to explain that the application was seen as allowable except for double patenting with US 6,837,481 B1 and suggested submitting a terminal disclaimer in order to move the case to issue. The applicant agreed to submit the terminal disclaimer.